



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,349	10/19/2001	Luke V. Schneider	020444-000710US	2257

20350 7590 01/24/2003

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1634

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
10/035,349

Applicant(s)
Schneider

Examiner
Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit
1634



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/19/01, 2/5/02, 4/15/02, and 4/30/02
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on Apr 15, 2002 is/are a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. *The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.*

2. Claims 13, and 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

While applicant may be his or her own lexicographer, a term in a claim may not be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of that term. See *In re Hill*, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947). The term "mass defect" in claims 13, and 23-31 are used by the claims to mean "elements having an atomic number of from 17 to 77," while the accepted meaning is "problems associated with the mass of an element" or "something wrong in the mass of an element".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1634

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-15, 17-25, 28, 30-33, 36-38, 41-43, 45-46, and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brennan (U.S. Patent 5,174,962) (December 29, 1992).

Brennan teaches a method for sequencing a terminal portion of an oligomer (Abstract), comprising:

a) contacting the oligomer with a labeling moiety to covalently attach a label to the terminus of the oligomer and form a labeled oligomer, the labeling moiety comprising at least one element having an atomic number from 17 to 77, with the proviso that the element is other than sulfur or phosphorus (Column 5, line 25 to Column 6, line 42 and Examples 3 and 4 and Scheme B on Column 8, line 65 to Column 9, line 27);

b) fragmenting the labeled oligomer using a mass spectrometric fragmentation method to produce labeled oligomer fragments (Scheme B and Column 7, lines 59-63); and

c) analyzing the labeled oligomer fragments using a mass spectrometric fragmentation method to determine the sequence of at least two terminal residues (Figure 1 and Claim 1).

Brennan teaches a method, wherein the labeling moiety comprises at least one element bromine of atomic number 35 (Examples 3 and 4 and Scheme B on Column 8, line 65 to Column 9, line 27).

Art Unit: 1634

Brennan teaches a method, wherein the oligomer is selected from oligonucleotide with at least three or four residues (Abstract and Column 5, line 25 to Column 6, line 42 and Examples 3 and 4 and Scheme B on Column 8, line 65 to Column 9, line 27).

Brennan teaches a method, wherein the labeling moiety comprises the element iodine (Column 4, lines 28-34).

Brennan teaches a method, wherein several oligomers, each labeled with a different number of mass defect elements are mixed prior to the fragmenting or analyzing step (Column 5, lines 46-55).

Brennan teaches a method of separating individual labeled oligomers in the labeled oligomer mixture (Column 5, lines 46-55).

Brennan teaches a method, further comprising a step prior to step (a) of isolating a group of oligomers from a biological sample consisting of healthy and diseased sample (Abstract, last two sentences and Column 1, lines 40-51).

Brennan teaches a method, wherein the separating is conducted by at least one method of capillary electrophoresis of the labeled oligomer mixture (Column 11, lines 1-22 and Figure 1)

Brennan teaches a method, wherein the mass spectrometric method uses ESI-TOF MS (Figure 2).

Brennan teaches a method of differentially labeling the oligomers by differentially labeling exposed residues and unexposed residues in the three-dimensional structure and analyzing them (Schemes B-E).

Art Unit: 1634

Brennan inherently teaches a method of contacting a second sample of the oligomer with a labeling moiety having two elements with an atomic number from 17 to 77, with the proviso that the elements are other than sulfur or phosphorus and analyzing the labeled tag by mass spectrometric methods to determine both its mass and the number of elements with an atomic number from 17 to 77, such that the mass and number of elements identifies the chemical processes to which the specific chemical of the library has been exposed and the identity of the chemical from the library (Scheme B).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CAR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1634

6. Claims 3, 5, 6, 16, 27, 34, 44, 47, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Brennan (U.S. Patent 5,174,962) (December 29, 1992) in view of Meyer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,359,111 B1) (March 19, 2002).

Brennan teaches the method of claims 1, 2, 4, 7-15, 17-25, 28, 30-33, 36-38, 41-43, 45-46, and 48-50 as described above..

Brennan does not teach the method, wherein the labeling moiety comprises at least one element yttrium of atomic number 39 to 58.

Meyer et al. teach the method, wherein the labeling moiety comprises at least one element yttrium of atomic number 39 to 58 (Column 22, lines 28-31).

Brennan does not teach the method, wherein the labeling moiety comprises europium.

Meyer et al. teach the method, wherein the labeling moiety comprises europium (Column 21, lines 41-50).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine and substitute the method wherein the labeling moiety comprises europium and yttrium of Meyer et al. in the method of Brennan, since Meyer et al states, "For in vitro diagnostic purposes, fluorescent metal species such as Terbium and Europium may be preferred since their complexes would be chemically similar to In and Y complexes but would be detectable by their fluorescence (Column 21, lines 46-50)." Meyer et al provides further motivation as Meyer et al states, "Preferred radionuclides for use in conjunction with a therapeutic kit are Re, Y, Cu, Rh, Au and Bi (Column 22, lines 28-31)". By employing

Application/Control Number: 10/035,349

Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Arun Chakrabarti,

Patent Examiner,

January 14, 2003


W. Gary Jones
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600

Art Unit: 1634

scientific reasoning, an ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to combine and substitute the method wherein the labeling moiety comprises europium and yttrium of Meyer et al. in the method of Brennan in order to improve the process for determining polynucleotide sequences and also in order to achieve the express advantages, as noted by Meyer et al., of an invention which provides preferred metals such as Europium and Yttrium which are detectable by their fluorescence.

7. Claims 26, 29, 35, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Brennan (U.S. Patent 5,174,962) (December 29, 1992) in view of Chait et al. (U.S. Patent 6,391,649 B1) (May 21, 2002).

Brennan teaches the method of claims 1, 2, 4, 7-15, 17-25, 28, 30-33, 36-38, 41-43, 45-46, and 48-50 as described above..

Brennan does not teach the method, wherein the oligomer is a protein comprising a portion of the tyrosine residue and lipid.

Chait et al. teach the method, wherein the oligomer is a protein comprising a portion of the tyrosine residue and lipid (Abstract, Examples 1 and 2, SEQ ID NO: 1 and Column 20, lines 6-19 and Claim 1).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine and substitute the method wherein the oligomer is a protein and lipid of Chait et al. in the method of Brennan, since Chait et al states, "The method is applicable to the components of any type of biological matter which are ionizable and may

Art Unit: 1634

therefore be analyzed by mass spectrometry (Abstract, last sentence)." Chait et al provides further motivation as Chait et al states, "Such analyses may be useful in clinical investigation and diagnosis (Column 20, lines 59-60)". By employing scientific reasoning, an ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to combine and substitute the method wherein the oligomer is a protein and lipid of Chait et al. in the method of Brennan, in order to improve the process for determining structure and composition of protein and lipid and also in order to achieve the express advantages, as noted by Chait et al., of an invention which is applicable to the components of any type of biological matter which are ionizable and may therefore be analyzed by mass spectrometry and which is useful in clinical investigation and diagnosis.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401.